

REMARKS

Claims 27, 29 and 33-35 are now presented for examination.

Claims 28 and 36 have been canceled without prejudice and without disclaimer of subject matter.

Claims 27 and 33-35 have been amended to define still more clearly what Applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 27 is the only independent claim.

Claims 33-35 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, 2nd paragraph, as being indefinite due to the recitation "said indicating means" in Claims 33 and 35, line 2, not having antecedent basis.

"indicating means" has been deleted in Claims 33-35, and has been changed to "display means" which clearly has antecedent basis in Claim 27 in the 3rd paragraph thereof. Accordingly, the rejection under § 112, second paragraph, is believed obviated, and its withdrawal is respectfully requested.

Claims 27-29 and 33-35 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. patent 5,675,358 (Bullock et al.).

Claim 36 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Bullock*.

First, the cancellation of Claims 28 and 36 has rendered the rejection of those claims moot.

Amended independent Claim 27 is directed to an image processing system, including, a) an information processing apparatus including, operating means which enters information, and processing means which processes information entered at the operating means. The information processing system also includes display means which performs a display corresponding to data processed by the processing means, and an interface which connects an external apparatus; and b) an image pickup apparatus detachable from the interface, which includes, image pickup means which picks up an object image, wherein the information processing apparatus further includes, detecting means which detects that the image pickup apparatus is connected, and control means which controls the display means to display information sent from the image pickup apparatus in a window when a display screen thereon in a case that the detecting means detects that the image pickup apparatus is connected.

It is a feature of the invention as now recited in amended independent Claim 27, that an image processing system includes an information processing apparatus and an image pickup apparatus which is detachable from the information

processing apparatus, and the information processing apparatus, when it detects that the image pickup apparatus which is detachable from the information processing apparatus, and the information processing apparatus, when it detects that the image pickup apparatus is connected to the information processing apparatus, controls display means thereof so as to display information sent from the connected image pickup apparatus in a window in a display screen of the information processing apparatus. This feature as now recited in Claim 27 is not taught or suggested by *Bullock* for the reasons set out below.

Bullock (Figs. 1 and 2) teaches that a personal computer 100 control a camera 118 which is connected to the personal computer 100. In addition, *Bullock* further teaches to display a control window 175 (Fig. 4) in a display screen of the personal computer 100 when a camera control application is merely started in the personal computer (col. 5, lines 29-43). However, the control window of *Bullock* is clearly distinguishable from the control means of the information processing apparatus which functions together with the detecting means as recited in Claim 27. This is so, as Applicant fails to find any teaching or suggestion in *Bullock* relating to detecting that the camera 118 is

connected to the personal computer 100, and therefor there is no teaching or suggestion in *Bullock* to display information sent from the connected camera 118 the screen of the personal computer 100, in response to such detection. For at least those reasons, Claim 27 is considered patentable over *Bullock*.

A review of the other art of record has failed to reveal anything which, in Applicant's opinion, would remedy the deficiencies of the art discussed above as a reference against independent Claim 27 herein. Claim 27 is therefore believed patentable over the art of record.

The other claims in this application are each dependent from Claim 27 discussed above, and are therefore believed patentable for the same reasons. Since each dependent claim is also deemed to define an additional aspect of the invention, however, the individual reconsideration of the patentability of each on its own merits is respectfully requested.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicant respectfully requests favorable reconsideration and early passage to issue of the present application.

Applicant's undersigned attorney may be reached in our New York office by telephone at (212) 218-2100. All

correspondence should continue to be directed to our below
listed address.

Respectfully submitted,


Attorney for Applicant

Registration No. 25,823

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO
30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, New York 10112-3801
Facsimile: (212) 218-2200

NY_MAIN 127290 v 1